

#### LEXSEE 1993 OHIO APP LEXIS 5152

# STATE OF OHIO, Plaintiff-Appellee v. TIMOTHY J. DICKSON, Defendant-Appellant

Case No. CA-478

## COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO, FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT, HOLMES COUNTY

1993 Ohio App. LEXIS 5152

### October 13, 1993, Entered

**PRIOR HISTORY:** [\*1] CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING: Criminal Appeal from the Court of Common Pleas. Case No. 92-CR-45

**DISPOSITION:** JUDGMENT: Affirmed

**COUNSEL:** For Plaintiff-Appellee: ROBERT D. RINFRET, Prosecuting Attorney, Holmes County, Ohio, 91 South Monroe Street, Millersburg, Ohio 44654.

For Defendant-Appellant: BLAIR A. BOWER, 111 S. Washington St., Suite B, Millersburg, Ohio 44654.

**JUDGES:** Hon. Irene B. Smart, P.J., Hon. W. Scott Gwin, J., Hon. William B. Hoffman, J.

**OPINION BY: W. SCOTT GWIN** 

**OPINION** 

**OPINION** 

GWIN, J.

Defendant, Timothy J. Dickson (appellant), appeals from the judgment of conviction and sentence entered upon a jury's verdict finding him guilty of two counts of domestic violence, fourth degree felonies under R.C. § 2919.25. Appellant assigns as error:

ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR NO. I

THE CONVICTION OF THE DEFENDANT-APPELLANT SHOULD BE REVERSED BECAUSE THE EVIDENCE WAS INSUFFICIENT AS A MATTER OF LAW.

## ASSIGNMENT OF ERROR NO. II

THE CONVICTION OF THE DEFENDANT-APPELLANT SHOULD BE REVERSED BECAUSE THE CONVICTION IS AGAINST THE MANIFEST WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE.

I & II

Through his first and second assignments, appellant questions the sufficiency and weight of the evidence regarding [\*2] the jury's verdict. When reviewing a claim that a jury's verdict is against the manifest weight of the evidence, or that the evidence was insufficient as a matter of law, our duty is to review the record and determine whether there is sufficient evidence contained therein for a jury to reasonably conclude that the defendant was guilty of the crimes charged beyond a reasonable doubt. *State v. Brown* (1988), 38 Ohio St.3d 305, 528 N.E.2d 523, *cert. denied* (1989), 489 U.S. 1040,

103 L. Ed. 2d 239, 109 S. Ct. 1177. Therefore, our examination is limited to a determination of whether, after reviewing the evidence in a light most favorable to the prosecution, any rational trier of fact could have found the essential elements of the crime proven beyond a reasonable doubt. *State v. Jenks* (1991), 61 Ohio St.3d 259, 574 N.E.2d 492, paragraph two of the syllabus; *State v. Eley* (1978), 56 Ohio St.2d 169, 383 N.E.2d 132, syllabus.

In the present case, appellant was charged by way of indictment with two counts of knowingly causing or attempting to cause physical harm to a family or household member, to-wit: Teonna M. Dickson, a three-year-old child, in violation of R.C. 2919.25(A)

[\*3] Upon review of the record, we find sufficient, if not overwhelming, evidence that appellant resided in the same home as the child victim, Teonna M. Dickson, at the time the instant criminal incidents occurred. In fact, the State presented evidence that appellant was the natural father of Teonna.

Testimonial evidence was presented that on July 18, 1992, appellant, while wearing his work boots, literally kicked Teonna in her lower back causing the child to fly three to five feet through the air. Testimonial evidence also indicated that on July 20, 1992, appellant struck Teonna with an open hand with such force as to leave a "welted hand print" on the child which later resulted in a

bruise. Upon review of this evidence, we believe any rational trier of fact could have found the essential elements of domestic violence proven beyond a reasonable doubt.

Accordingly, we overrule appellant's first and second assignments of error and affirm the judgment of conviction and sentence entered in the Holmes County Court of Common Pleas.

By Gwin, J.

Smart, P.J. and

Hoffman, J. concur.

#### JUDGMENT ENTRY

For the reasons stated in the Memorandum-Opinion on file, the judgment of conviction [\*4] and sentence entered in the Holmes County Court of Common Pleas, Ohio, is hereby affirmed and we remand this cause to that court for execution of sentence.

W. Scott Gwin

Irene Balogh Smart

William B. Hoffman

**JUDGES**